Bill No. HB 6533 (2025)

Amendment No. 1

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION ADOPTED (Y/N) (Y/N) ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N) ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N) FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N) WITHDRAWN OTHER 1 Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Civil Justice & Claims 2 Subcommittee 3 Representative LaMarca offered the following: 4 5 Amendment (with title amendment) 6 Remove line 133 and insert: 7 Section 4. The amount to be paid by the Broward County 8 Sheriff's 9 10 11 TITLE AMENDMENT Remove lines 35-115 and insert: 12 13 39.3065, Florida Statutes, and WHEREAS, that same day, upon receiving the abuse hotline 14 report, a BSO child protective investigator (CPI) responded to 15 Northwest Medical Center and observed the bruising around M.N.'s 16 344639 - h6533-line 133.docx Published On: 3/26/2025 5:09:01 PM

Page 1 of 5

Bill No. HB 6533 (2025)

Amendment No. 1

17 left eye and the discoloration on her left wrist and learned 18 that, in addition to M.N.'s unexplained healing fractures, each 19 of the aforementioned injuries occurred while M.N. was in the 20 care or presence of her mother, K.W.; that the origins of the 21 injuries were unexplained; and that K.W. had taken M.N. to 22 different medical facilities to receive treatment for the 23 child's injuries, and

24 WHEREAS, as the agency charged under s. 39.001, Florida 25 Statutes, with conducting child protective investigations to 26 ensure child safety and prevent further harm to children, the 27 BSO owed M.N. a duty to ensure her safety and to protect her 28 from further harm, and

WHEREAS, despite the CPI having actual knowledge that there was a pattern of unexplained injuries to M.N. while in K.W.'s care and that the child was in immediate need of a safety plan for her protection, the BSO allowed M.N. to be discharged from the hospital in the custody of K.W., and

WHEREAS, the BSO determined that M.N.'s father, C.N., was a nonoffending parent; however, K.W. had moved into the home of a male friend, Juan Santos, and, throughout September and October 2016, refused to respond to C.N.'s multiple requests to visit M.N., and

39 WHEREAS, the BSO failed to contact C.N., despite the fact 40 that the BSO was required to do so to inform him of M.N.'s 41 injuries and to discuss placement of the child, and

344639 - h6533-line 133.docx

Published On: 3/26/2025 5:09:01 PM

Page 2 of 5

Bill No. HB 6533 (2025)

Amendment No. 1

42 WHEREAS, the BSO failed to meet with Mr. Santos, to explore 43 whether he was a caregiver to M.N., or to conduct a background 44 check on him, and instead allowed M.N. to remain with K.W. and 45 Mr. Santos, during which time M.N. was subject to further severe 46 abuse, and

WHEREAS, on October 24, 2016, while the BSO's child protective investigation remained open, M.N., at only 6 months of age, sustained life-threatening injuries, including a parietal skull fracture, severe brain and spinal cord injury, and extensive retinal hemorrhages, due to shaking and impact, and

53 WHEREAS, on October 24, 2016, M.N. was transported to the 54 hospital, where she was declared brain-dead and placed on life 55 support, and she died from her injuries on October 28, 2016, 56 after being removed from life support, and

57 WHEREAS, on October 24, 2016, an additional abuse hotline 58 report was received regarding M.N., and the case was again 59 assigned to the BSO for investigation, and

WHEREAS, the BSO closed its investigation of M.N.'s case on
July 17, 2017, with verified findings of bone fractures,
internal injuries, threatened harm, and death, and

WHEREAS, following a jury trial, a verdict was rendered on
August 16, 2023, in the amount of \$4.5 million in favor of
M.N.'s father, C.N., for his pain and suffering as a result

344639 - h6533-line 133.docx

Published On: 3/26/2025 5:09:01 PM

Page 3 of 5

Bill No. HB 6533 (2025)

Amendment No. 1

M.N.'s wrongful death, with 58 percent of the jury award, 66 67 totaling \$2.61 million, apportioned to the BSO, and 68 WHEREAS, the BSO admitted its negligence during the trial following the testimony of its own CPI, her supervisor, and 69 70 other BSO employees, and 71 WHEREAS, the jury found that, but for the BSO's negligence 72 in failing to complete a thorough child protective 73 investigation, ensure M.N.'s safety, and protect M.N. from 74 further abuse and neglect, which was its primary duty, M.N. 75 would not have died and C.N. would not have suffered damages 76 arising out of the loss of his daughter, and 77 WHEREAS, BSO is obligated to tender \$110,000 of the jury 78 award which it has not done and \$90,000 was recovered from 79 Broward County, which total will exhaust the sovereign immunity 80 limits set forth in s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, and WHEREAS, the trial court entered a cost judgment awarding 81 82 taxable costs in the amount of \$88,258.50 to the Estate of M.N., 83 to be paid by the BSO, and 84 WHEREAS, a total of \$2,498,258.50, representing \$2.41 85 million in excess of the sovereign immunity limits and 86 \$88,258.50 in costs awarded to the Estate of M.N., plus interest remains unpaid by the BSO, and 87 WHEREAS, the Estate of M.N. is responsible for payment of 88 89 attorney fees and all remaining costs and expenses relating to

344639 - h6533-line 133.docx

Published On: 3/26/2025 5:09:01 PM

Page 4 of 5

Bill No. HB 6533 (2025)

Amendment No. 1

90	this claim, subject to the limitations set forth in this act,
91	and
92	WHEREAS, the claimant is to be paid the statutory
93	limit of \$200,000 pursuant to s. 768.28, Florida Statutes,
94	leaving a
۲. ۲	44639 - h6533-line 133.docx
	Published On: 3/26/2025 5:09:01 PM
	Page 5 of 5