FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES BILL ANALYSIS

This bill analysis was prepared by nonpartisan committee staff and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.

BILL #: CS/HB 69 COMPANION BILL: SB 118 (Brodeur)

TITLE: Regulation of Presidential Libraries

SPONSOR(S): Andrade

LINKED BILLS: None

RELATED BILLS: None

Committee References

Intergovernmental Affairs 13 Y. 2 N. As CS



State Affairs

SUMMARY

Effect of the Bill:

The bill prohibits a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of the state from adopting or enforcing any ordinance, resolution, rule, or policy that prohibits, restricts, regulates, or otherwise limits the establishment, maintenance, or operation of a presidential library. The bill also prohibits those governments from imposing any requirements or restrictions on presidential libraries, except where otherwise authorized by federal law.

Fiscal or Economic Impact:

None

JUMP TO <u>SUMMARY</u> <u>ANALYSIS</u> <u>RELEVANT INFORMATION</u> <u>BILL HISTORY</u>

ANALYSIS

EFFECT OF THE BILL:

The bill provides findings that <u>presidential libraries</u> are unique national institutions designated to house, preserve, and making accessible the records of former presidents. (Section 1)

The bill prohibits a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of the state from adopting or enforcing any <u>ordinance</u>, resolution, rule, or policy that prohibits, restricts, regulates, or otherwise limits the establishment, maintenance, or operation of a presidential library. The bill also prohibits those governments from imposing any requirements or restrictions on presidential libraries, except where otherwise authorized by federal law (Section 1)

The bill provides an effective date of upon becoming a law. (Section $\underline{2}$)

RELEVANT INFORMATION

SUBJECT OVERVIEW:

Presidential Libraries

During the early history of the United States, the papers of the president were considered to be personal property presidents would take with them after they left office. Some early records were donated to the Library of Congress, while others were lost or destroyed. Through the years, the general public, scholars, and presidents began to see the need to preserve these records and interest in their conservation increased. President Franklin D. Roosevelt initiated a more tangible process of archiving documents by advancing the concept of presidential

² *Id*.

STORAGE NAME: h0069a.IAS

DATE: 3/7/2025

1

¹ Congressional Research Service, <u>The Presidential Libraries Act and the Establishment of Presidential Libraries</u>, p. 6 (last visited Feb. 10, 2025). Presidential records created on or after January 20, 1981 are considered property of the United States. 44 U.S.C. ss. 2201-2209.

libraries in 1938, building upon two prototypes (the Rutherford B. Hayes Memorial Library and the Hoover Institution on War, Revolution, and Peace) to propose a privately built, publicly maintained presidential library.³ The Presidential Libraries Act (PLA) was initially passed in 1955 to preserve and administer the papers and other historical materials of Presidents of the United States.⁴ The PLA was created to solidify a system of privately built and federally maintained libraries to house presidential documents and objects.⁵ Facing increasing concerns about the cost of operating the libraries, the PLA was substantially revised in 1986 to shift the cost of ongoing operations from the taxpayer to endowment funds.⁶

The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) operates presidential libraries for all of the former presidents since Herbert Hoover. The Archivist of the United States serves as the head of NARA and has the authority to accept land, facilities, equipment, and gifts for the purpose of creating a presidential archival depository when the Archivist deems it in the public interest. The presidential library system contains collections of presidential materials and the physical depositories that hold them. Currently, the presidential library system comprises fifteen presidential collections (and 13 depositories) documenting Presidents Herbert Hoover through Donald Trump.

As their presidency concludes, former presidents and their supporters may pursue constructing facilities to memorialize their terms in office and house presidential artifacts. ¹⁰ This occurs by the establishment of a foundation to raise money for acquiring land and constructing the library. After the library is constructed, NARA typically takes control of the facility, the land, and the foundation's operating endowment pursuant to the agreement between the agency and the foundation.

Ordinances

The Florida Constitution grants local governments broad home rule authority. Non-charter county governments may exercise those powers of self-government that are provided by general or special law. Counties operating under a county charter have all powers of self-government not inconsistent with general law or special law approved by the vote of the electors. Municipalities have governmental, corporate, and proprietary powers that enable them to conduct municipal government, perform municipal functions and provide municipal services, and exercise any power for municipal purposes except when expressly prohibited by law. A local government enactment may be inconsistent with state law if the State Constitution preempts the subject area, the Legislature preempts the subject area, or the local enactment conflicts with a state statute.

Local governments exercise their powers by adopting ordinances. The adoption or amendment of a regular ordinance, other than an ordinance making certain changes to zoning, may be considered at any regular or special meeting of the local governing body. ¹⁴ Notice of the proposed ordinance must be published at least 10 days before the meeting in a newspaper of general circulation in the area; state the date, time, and location of the meeting, the title of the proposed ordinance, and locations where the proposed ordinance may be inspected by the public; and advise that interested parties may appear and speak at the meeting. Municipal ordinances must also be read by

JUMP TO SUMMARY ANALYSIS RELEVANT INFORMATION BILL HISTORY

³ *Id.* at 6-7

⁴ Id at 8.

⁵ Congressional Research Service, *Presidential Libraries and Museums* (last visited Feb. 10, 2025).

⁶ Congressional Research Service, <u>The Presidential Libraries Act and the Establishment of Presidential Libraries</u>, p. 9 (last visited Feb. 10, 2025).

⁷ Government Accountability Office, <u>Framework Governing Use of Presidential Library Facilities and Staff</u> (last visited Feb. 10, 2025).

^{8 44} U.S.C. s. 2112.

⁹ Congressional Research Service, *Presidential Libraries and Museums* (last visited Feb. 10, 2025).

¹⁰ Congressional Research Service, *Presidential Libraries and Museums* (last visited Feb. 10, 2025).

¹¹ Art. VIII, s. 1(f), Fla. Const.

¹² Art. VIII, s. 1(g), Fla. Const.

¹³ Art. VIII, s. 2(b); see also <u>s. 166.021(1), F.S.</u>

 $^{^{14}}$ See ss. $^{125.66(2)(a)}$, and $^{166.041}$, F.S. In addition to general notice requirements, a local government must provide written notice by mail to all property owners before adopting a zoning change involving less than 10 contiguous acres. Ss. $^{125.66(4)(a)}$ and $^{166.041(3)(c)1}$., F.S. If a zoning change involves 10 or more contiguous acres, the local government must conduct two public hearings, advertised in a newspaper, before adopting the ordinance. Ss. $^{125.66(4)(b)}$ and $^{166.041(3)(c)2}$., F.S.

title or in full on at least two separate days. 15 Ordinances may only encompass a single subject and may not be revised or amended solely by reference to the title.¹⁶

Preemption

Preemption refers to principle that a federal or state statute can supersede or supplant state or local law that stands as an obstacle to accomplishing the full purposes and objectives of the overriding federal or state law.¹⁷ Florida law recognizes two types of preemption: express and implied. Express preemption requires a specific legislative statement; it cannot be implied or inferred. 18 To expressly preempt a subject area, the Legislature must use clear statutory language stating its intention to do so.¹⁹ Implied preemption occurs when the Legislature has demonstrated an intent to preempt an area, though not expressly. Florida courts find implied preemption when "the legislative scheme is so pervasive as to evidence an intent to preempt the particular area, and where strong public policy reasons exist for finding such an area to be preempted by the Legislature."20

Where state preemption applies, a local government may not exercise authority in that area.²¹ Whether a local government ordinance or other measure violates preemption is ultimately decided by a court. If a local government improperly enacts an ordinance or other measure on a matter preempted to the state, a person may challenge the ordinance by filing a lawsuit. A court ruling against the local government may declare the preempted ordinance void.²²

BILL HISTORY

COMMITTEE REFERENCE	ACTION	DATE	STAFF DIRECTOR/ POLICY CHIEF	ANALYSIS PREPARED BY
<u>Intergovernmental Affairs</u>	13 Y, 2 N, As CS	3/6/2025	Darden	Burgess
<u>Subcommittee</u>				
THE CHANGES ADOPTED BY THE	Removes an express preemption of the regulation of president libraries to the			
COMMITTEE:	state and revises the types of activities counties, municipalities, or other			
domin'i i bbi	political subdivisions of the state are prohibited from engaging in as it relates			
	1 000			
	to the regulation of presidential libraries.			
State Affairs Committee				
<u> </u>				

THIS BILL ANALYSIS HAS BEEN UPDATED TO INCORPORATE ALL OF THE CHANGES DESCRIBED ABOVE.

JUMP TO **SUMMARY RELEVANT INFORMATION ANALYSIS BILL HISTORY**

¹⁵ S. <u>166.041(3)(a)</u>, F.S.

¹⁶ Ss. 125.67 and 166.041(2), F.S.

¹⁷ Preemption Definition, Black's Law Dictionary (12th ed. 2024).

¹⁸ See City of Hollywood v. Mulligan, 934 So. 2d 1238, 1243 (Fla. 2006); Phantom of Clearwater, Inc. v. Pinellas County, 894 So. 2d 1011, 1018 (Fla. 2d DCA 2005).

¹⁹ Mulligan, 934 So. 2d at 1243.

²⁰ Tallahassee Mem. Reg. Med. Ctr., Inc. v. Tallahassee Med. Ctr., Inc., 681 So. 2d 826, 831 (Fla. 1st DCA 1996).

²¹ D'Agastino v. City of Miami, 220 So. 3d 410 (Fla. 2017); Judge James R. Wolf and Sarah Harley Bolinder, The Effectiveness of Home Rule: A Preemptions and Conflict Analysis, 83 Fla. B.J. 92 (June 2009).

²² See, e.g., Nat'l Rifle Ass'n of Am., Inc. v. City of S. Miami, 812 So. 2d 504 (Fla. 3d DCA 2002).