

The Florida Senate
BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Commerce and Tourism

BILL: CS/SB 702

INTRODUCER: Commerce and Tourism Committee and Senator Burgess

SUBJECT: Provenance of Digital Content

DATE: March 11, 2025

REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	McMillan	McKay	CM	Fav/CS
2.	_____	_____	ATD	_____
3.	_____	_____	FP	_____

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 702 creates a number of provisions relating to information about the origin and modification of digital content. The bill defines “provenance data” as information identifying whether some or all of the content has been derived through generative artificial intelligence and, if so, the name of the generative artificial intelligence tool used to generate such content and the organization that developed such tool.

The bill requires any content purporting to feature an electoral candidate which can be viewed, heard, or accessed online to include digital provenance data if such content was created by generative artificial intelligence.

The bill creates a digital content provenance pilot program within the Division of Emergency Management (DEM), to enhance the security and authenticity of digital content used in emergency management operations through the inclusion of provenance data.

The bill defines “synthetic content” as any visual or audio content that has been produced or modified by a generative artificial intelligence tool.

The bill requires the provider of a generative artificial intelligence tool to apply provenance data to synthetic content wholly generated by the provider’s artificial intelligence tool. The provider of such tool must make the following available to the public:

- An application tool that can determine whether content is synthetic content; and

- A free provenance reader that can view provenance information for synthetic content.

The bill prohibits provenance data from including any personal identifying information or any unique device, system, or service information which is reasonably capable of being associated with a particular user, unless directed by the user.

The bill requires a social media platform to retain all available provenance data of any suspected synthetic content, and requires such platform to make such data available to platform users through a conspicuous indicator with an encoded link. Additionally, a capture device sold in Florida is required to have an option to record provenance data of any suspected synthetic content. The manufacturer of a capture device must ensure that such provenance data can be read by third-party applications. A violation of s. 501.9741, F.S., constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice. The Department of Legal Affairs must notify the provider of the generative artificial intelligence system, the manufacturer of a capture device, or the social media platform of any suspected violation, and allow the provider 30 days to cure the alleged violation.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2025.

II. Present Situation:

Artificial Intelligence

Generally

Artificial intelligence (AI) is the development of computer systems to perform tasks that normally require human intelligence, such as learning and decision-making.¹ It enables computer systems to receive information that is either provided to them by others or gathered by them (e.g. through camera lenses or other sensors), which they can then process and respond to in some meaningful way. To a certain extent, AI systems can adapt their behavior by analyzing the effects of previous actions and working autonomously.²

Investments in AI have led to many of the transformative advancements that U.S. consumers rely upon every day,³ including mapping technologies, voice-assisted smartphones, handwriting recognition for mail delivery, financial trading, smart logistics, spam filtering, and language translation. AI advances have also provided significant social benefits in areas such as precision medicine, environmental sustainability, education, and public welfare.⁴

Types of AI

AI may be generally classified in one of three classes based on its capabilities or its

¹ National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL), *Artificial Intelligence 2023 Legislation*, Jan. 12, 2024, available at <https://www.ncsl.org/technology-and-communication/artificial-intelligence-2023-legislation> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

² European Parliament, *What is artificial intelligence and how is it used?*, E.U. News, Jun. 20, 2023, available at <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/topics/en/article/20200827STO85804/what-is-artificial-intelligence-and-how-is-it-used> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

³ U.S. Department of State, *Artificial Intelligence (AI)*, available at <https://www.state.gov/artificial-intelligence/> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

⁴ *Id.*

functionalities:⁵

- *Artificial Narrow AI*. Also known as Weak AI, machines using Weak AI can only perform specific tasks using human-like capabilities. They can do nothing more than what they are programmed to do. Examples of Artificial Narrow AI include Siri, Alexa, and ChatGPT.⁶
- *General AI*. Also known as Strong AI, and any machine or application using Strong AI in the future would be able to use what they have learned in the past to accomplish new tasks in different contexts without the need for additional training by human beings. In other words, they would be able to learn, perceive, understand, and function completely like a human beings.⁷
- *Super AI*. Also known as artificial superintelligence, Super AI is strictly theoretical. If ever realized, machines using Super AI would think, reason, learn, make judgments, and possess cognitive abilities surpassing those of human beings. Machines possessing Super AI capabilities would have evolved beyond the point of understanding human sentiments and experiences to feeling emotions, having needs, and possessing beliefs and desires of their own.⁸

Under the umbrella of Artificial Narrow AI or Weak AI, there are four kinds of AI based upon functionalities:⁹

- *Reactive Machine AI*. Reactive machines are AI systems with no memory. They are designed to perform very specific tasks. They can only work with presently available data because they cannot recollect previous outcomes or decisions. Reactive Machine AI stems from statistical math and can analyze vast amounts of data to produce a seemingly intelligent output. Examples of machines and applications that rely upon Reactive Machine AI include IBM Deep Blue (IBM's chess-playing supercomputer) and the Netflix recommendation engine.¹⁰
- *Limited Memory AI*. In addition to having the capabilities of purely reactive machines, Limited Memory AI machines and applications are also capable of learning from historical data to make decisions. Almost all present-day Limited Memory AI applications, including Generative AI tools (e.g. chatbots and virtual assistants) and self-driving vehicles, are Limited Memory AI machines and applications.¹¹
- *Theory of Mind AI*. Theory of Mind AI is a kind of General AI that exists only in concept. It is the "next level" of AI systems that researchers are currently developing. Machines and applications using a Theory of Mind level AI will be able to understand the thoughts and emotions of other entities. In theory, this will allow them to simulate humanlike relationships and to contextualize artwork and essays, which today's Generative AI tools are unable to do.¹²

⁵ Naveen Joshi, *7 Types of Artificial Intelligence*, Jun. 19, 2019, Forbes, available at

<https://www.forbes.com/sites/cognitiveworld/2019/06/19/7-types-of-artificial-intelligence/?sh=7b5ddf4d233e> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ *Id.*

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² *Id.* Emotion AI is a kind of Theory of Mind AI that is currently under development. Researchers hope that it will one day have the ability to analyze voices, images, and other kinds of data to recognize, simulate, monitor, and respond appropriately to humans on an emotional level. To date, Emotion AI is unable to understand and respond to human feelings. *Id.*

- *Self-Aware AI*. Self-Aware AI is a kind of Super AI that exists only in concept. It is strictly theoretical. If ever achieved, it will have the ability to understand its own internal conditions and traits along with human emotions and thoughts. It will also have its own set of emotions, needs, and beliefs.¹³

Generative AI

Generative AI is a type of Limited Memory AI technology¹⁴ that can produce high-quality content, including text, images, audio, or video, within seconds when prompted by a user.¹⁵ Although it was first introduced in the 1960s, it was not until 2014, with the introduction of generative adversarial networks, or GANs (a type of machine learning algorithm),¹⁶ that Generative AI could convincingly create authentic images, videos, and audio of real people.¹⁷

Generative AI systems learn patterns and relationships from massive amounts of data, which enables them to process and create new content that may be similar, but not identical, to the underlying training data. Such systems rely upon sophisticated machine learning algorithms and statistical models to work.¹⁸

In order to generate new content, Generative AI users are required to submit prompts that guide the generation of new content. Many iterations may be required to produce the intended result because Generative AI is sensitive to the wording of prompts.¹⁹

Because Generative AI can do so much, it has many potential applications, including in education, government, medicine, and law. Applications include:

- Writing a speech in a particular tone.
- Summarizing complex research.
- Assessing legal documents.

¹³ *Id.*

¹⁴ George Lawton, *What is generative AI? Everything you need to know*, TechTarget, Jan. 2024, available at <https://www.techtarget.com/searchenterpriseai/definition/generative-AI> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

¹⁵ Government Accountability Office (GAO), Science, Technology Assessment, and Analytics, *Science & Tech Spotlight: Generative AI* (June 2023), available at <https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-23-106782.pdf>; (last visited Mar. 11, 2025). George Lawton, *What is generative AI? Everything you need to know*, TechTarget, Jan. 2024, available at <https://www.techtarget.com/searchenterpriseai/definition/generative-AI> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

¹⁶ “A generative adversarial network (GAN) is a deep learning architecture. It trains two neural networks to compete against each other to generate more authentic new data from a given training dataset. For instance, you can generate new images from an existing image database or original music from a database of songs. A GAN is called adversarial because it trains two different networks and pits them against each other. One network generates new data by taking an input data sample and modifying it as much as possible. The other network tries to predict whether the generated data output belongs in the original dataset. In other words, the predicting network determines whether the generated data is fake or real. The system generates newer, improved versions of fake data values until the predicting network can no longer distinguish fake from original.” Amazon Web Services (AWS), *What is a GAN?*, available at <https://aws.amazon.com/what-is/gan/> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025). GAN can generate images, training data for other models, complete missing information, and generate 3D models from 2D data. *Id.*

¹⁷ George Lawton, *What is generative AI? Everything you need to know*, TechTarget, Jan. 2024, available at <https://www.techtarget.com/searchenterpriseai/definition/generative-AI> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

¹⁸ Government Accountability Office (GAO), Science, Technology Assessment, and Analytics, *Science & Tech Spotlight: Generative AI* (June 2023), available at <https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-23-106782.pdf> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025). Training data can include opensource information, such as text and images from the internet. *Id.*

¹⁹ *Id.*

- Creating images for different applications.
- Composing music.
- Composing poems.
- Designing molecules for new drugs.
- Generating programming codes.
- Translating languages.
- Implementing chatbots.
- Deploying “deepfakes.”
- Improving dubbing for movies.
- Designing physical products and buildings.²⁰

The U.S. Government Accountability Office has identified several opportunities and challenges in connection with the proliferation of Generative AI systems.²¹ With respect to opportunities, Generative AI can quicken access to ideas and knowledge by helping people more efficiently gather new information; help automate a wide variety of administrative and repetitive tasks; and enhance the productivity of many industries.²² With respect to challenges, because Generative AI systems can respond to harmful instructions, they can increase the speed and scale of many real world harms, such as facilitating the development and proliferation of false information; facilitating the use of copyrighted, proprietary, or sensitive data, without the owner’s or subject’s knowledge; reducing privacy for users, including minors, through the retention of personally identifiable information without consent; and facilitating the storage and use of sensitive information by foreign adversaries.²³

Regulation

Concerns about the potential misuse or unintended consequences of AI have prompted efforts to examine and develop standards at the federal and state levels.²⁴

In the 2024 legislative session, at least 45 states, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands and Washington, D.C., introduced AI bills, and 31 states, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands adopted resolutions or enacted legislation. Examples of those actions include the following:²⁵

- Colorado enacted comprehensive AI legislation requiring developers and deployers of high-risk AI systems to use reasonable care to avoid algorithmic discrimination and requires disclosures to consumers.
- Hawaii required the University of Hawaii to implement a program to develop a wildfire forecast system to forecast the risk of wildfire statewide using AI.

²⁰ Government Accountability Office (GAO), Science, Technology Assessment, and Analytics, *Science & Tech Spotlight: Generative AI* (Jun. 2023), available at <https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-23-106782.pdf>; (last visited Mar. 11, 2025), George Lawton, *What is generative AI? Everything you need to know*, TechTarget, Oct. 2024, available at <https://www.techtarget.com/searchenterpriseai/definition/generative-AI> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

²¹ Government Accountability Office (GAO), Science, Technology Assessment, and Analytics, *Science & Tech Spotlight: Generative AI* (Jun. 2023), available at <https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-23-106782.pdf> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

²² *Id.*

²³ *Id.*

²⁴ NCSL, *Artificial Intelligence 2024 Legislation*, Sep. 9, 2024, available at <https://www.ncsl.org/technology-and-communication/artificial-intelligence-2024-legislation> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

²⁵ *Id.*

- Indiana created an AI task force.
- Maryland required the Department of Information Technology to adopt policies and procedures concerning the development, procurement, deployment, use and assessment of systems that employ AI by units of state government.
- New Hampshire created the crime of the fraudulent use of deepfakes and establishes a cause of action.
- Tennessee required the governing boards of public institutions of higher education to promulgate rules and required local education boards and public charter schools to adopt policies, regarding the use of AI by students, teachers, faculty and staff for instructional purposes.
- Utah created the Artificial Intelligence Policy Act.
- West Virginia created a select committee on AI.²⁶

Government Technology Modernization Council

In 2024, the Legislature established the Government Technology Modernization Council within the Department of Management Services to study and monitor the development and deployment of new technologies and provide reports on recommendations for procurement and regulation of such systems to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of representatives.²⁷ By December 31, 2024, and each December 31 thereafter, the council is required to submit to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives any legislative recommendations considered necessary by the council to modernize government technology, including:

- Recommendations for policies necessary to:
 - Accelerate adoption of technologies that will increase productivity of state enterprise information technology systems, improve customer service levels of government, and reduce administrative or operating costs;
 - Promote the development and deployment of artificial intelligence systems, financial technology, education technology, or other enterprise management software in Florida; and
 - Protect Floridians from bad actors who use artificial intelligence.
- Any other information the council considers relevant.²⁸

Florida Cybersecurity Advisory Council

In 2021, the Legislature established the Florida Cybersecurity Advisory Council within the Department of Management Services to assist state agencies in protecting their information technology resources from cyber threats and incidents. The council is required to submit to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives any legislative recommendations considered by the council to address cybersecurity beginning June 30, 2022 and each June 30th thereafter.²⁹

²⁶ *Id.*

²⁷ Ch. 2024-118, Laws of Fla.

²⁸ Section 282.802, F.S.

²⁹ Department of Management Services, *Cybersecurity Advisory Council*, available at https://www.dms.myflorida.com/other_programs/cybersecurity_advisory_council (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

Disclaimer Requirements for Political Advertising

Current law defines “political advertisement” to mean a paid expression in a communications medium,³⁰ whether radio, television, newspaper, magazine, periodical, campaign literature, direct mail, or display or by means other than the spoken word in direct conversation, which expressly advocates the election or defeat of a candidate or the approval or rejection of an issue.³¹

However, the term does not include:

- A statement by an organization, in existence before the time during which a candidate qualifies or an issue is placed on the ballot for that election, in support of or in opposition to a candidate or issue, in that organization’s newsletter, which newsletter is distributed only to the members of that organization.
- Editorial endorsements by a newspaper, a radio or television station, or any other recognized news medium.³²

An “electioneering communication” is a text message or communication that is publicly distributed by a television station, radio station, cable television system, satellite system, newspaper, magazine, direct mail, or telephone which:

- Refers to or depicts a clearly identified candidate for office without expressly advocating the election or defeat of a candidate but that is susceptible of no reasonable interpretation other than an appeal to vote for or against a specific candidate;
- Is made within 30 days before a primary or special primary election or 60 days before any other election for the office sought by the candidate; and
- Is targeted to the relevant electorate in the geographic area the candidate would represent if elected.³³

Specified types of communications are exempted from the definition.³⁴

Political advertisements, electioneering communications, and certain text message and telephone solicitations must disclose who approved and paid for the advertisement or communication.³⁵

Voter guides must also include the required disclaimers, as applicable, and be marked “Voter Guide.”³⁶ In addition, any advertisement, other than a political advertisement, independent

³⁰ Section 106.011(4), F.S., defines “communications medium” to include broadcasting stations, newspapers, magazines, outdoor advertising facilities, printers, direct mail, advertising agencies, the Internet, and telephone companies.

³¹ Section 106.011(15), F.S.

³² *Id.*

³³ Section 106.011(8)(a), F.S.

³⁴ *See* s. 106.011(8)(b), F.S.

³⁵ Sections 106.071, 106.143, 106.1439, and 106.147, F.S. A failure to include a required disclaimer for an electioneering communication or for a political advertisement paid for by independent expenditure constitutes a first-degree misdemeanor (ss. 106.1439(2) and 106.071(4), F.S.). A willful failure to include a required disclaimer for other political advertisements is subject to prescribed civil penalties (s. 106.143(11), F.S.). A willful violation of text message and telephone solicitation requirements constitutes a first-degree misdemeanor (s. 106.147(4), F.S.).

³⁶ Section 106.1436, F.S. The term “voter guide” means direct mail that is either an electioneering communication or a political advertisement sent for the purpose of advocating for or endorsing particular issues or candidates by recommending specific electoral choices to the voter or by indicating issue or candidate selections on an unofficial ballot (s. 106.1436(1), F.S.). In addition to any other penalties provided by law, a person who fails to include the required disclaimer commits a first-degree misdemeanor (s. 106.1436(4), F.S.).

expenditure,³⁷ or electioneering communication, on billboards, bumper stickers, radio, or television, or in a newspaper, a magazine, or a periodical, intended to influence public policy or the vote of a public official, must clearly designate the sponsor of such advertisement by including a statement of sponsorship.³⁸

Generally, penalties for failure to include a required disclaimer apply to a “person” as defined in s. 106.011(14), F.S. – an individual or a corporation, association, firm, partnership, joint venture, joint stock company, club, organization, estate, trust, business trust, syndicate or other combination of individuals having collective capacity. The term includes a political party, affiliated party committee, or political committee. Examples of past cases relating to existing Florida Election Code³⁹ requirements that apply to a “person:”

- Allowed for an officer of a political committee to be held personally liable for failure to include a required disclaimer on a political advertisement;⁴⁰
- Imposed penalties on a political consulting firm and the president of the firm for failing to include disclaimers in, and report expenditures for, certain independent political advertisements;⁴¹
- Imposed penalties on a political committee for exceeding contribution limits;⁴² and
- Allowed a corporation to be criminally charged for exceeding a contribution limit.⁴³

Election-Related Use of Artificial Intelligence

The proliferation of Generative AI use and its outpacing of government regulation has created concern among policymakers about its potentially negative effect on the electoral process. Specific concerns include, but are not limited to, voter misinformation by chatbots,⁴⁴ phishing scams on election officials through AI-generated voices, and the use of deepfakes⁴⁵ to deceive voters and damage political rivals. Over time, the use of AI may also erode trust in authentic information.⁴⁶

³⁷ Section 106.011(12)(a), F.S., defines “independent expenditure” to mean an expenditure by a person for the purpose of expressly advocating the election or defeat of a candidate or the approval or rejection of an issue, which expenditure is not controlled by, coordinated with, or made upon consultation with, any candidate, political committee, or agent of such candidate or committee.

³⁸ Section 106.1437, F.S. The section does not specify a penalty for failure to include the required statement.

³⁹ Chapters 97-106, F.S., are known as “The Florida Election Code.” Section 97.011, F.S.

⁴⁰ See *Fulton v. Division of Elections*, 689 So.2d 1180 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1997).

⁴¹ See *Guetzloe v. Florida Elections Commission*, 927 So.2d 942 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2006).

⁴² See *Florida PBA-PAC v. Division of Elections*, 430 So.2d 483 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1983).

⁴³ See *Winn-Dixie Stores, Inc., v. State of Florida*, 408 So.2d 211 (Fla. 1982).

⁴⁴ IBM defines “chatbot” to mean a computer program that simulates human conversation with an end user (see *What is a Chatbot?*, available at <https://www.ibm.com/topics/chatbots> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025)).

⁴⁵ Although exact definitions of “deepfake” vary, all reflect a depiction of something that has not actually occurred. Merriam-Webster, for example, defines “deepfake” to mean an image or recording that has been convincingly altered and manipulated to misrepresent someone as doing or saying something that was not actually said or done (see <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/deepfake>, last visited March 11, 2025).

⁴⁶ National Conference of State Legislatures, *Challenges Ahead for Lawmakers Seeking to Legislate AI in Campaigns*, available at https://www.ncsl.org/state-legislatures-news/details/challenges-ahead-for-lawmakers-seeking-to-legislate-ai-in-campaigns?utm_source=national+conference+of+state+legislatures&utm_term=0_-61bea1f450-%5blist_email_id%5d&utm_campaign=8fbf8e40e8-canvass-jan-4&utm_medium=email (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

In 2024, the Legislature enacted a law to regulate the use of AI in political advertising.⁴⁷ If a political advertisement, an electioneering communication, or other miscellaneous advertisement of a political nature contains images, video, audio, graphics, or other digital content created in whole or in part with the use of Generative AI, if the generated content appears to depict a real person performing an action that did not actually occur, and if the generated content was created with intent to injure a candidate or to deceive regarding a ballot issue, the political advertisement, electioneering communication, or other miscellaneous advertisement must prominently state the following disclaimer: “Created in whole or in part with the use of Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI).”⁴⁸ Additionally, the disclaimer must:

- For a printed communication, be stated in bold font with a font size of at least 12 points.
- For a television or video communication, be clearly readable throughout the communication and occupy at least 4 percent of the vertical picture height.
- For an Internet public communication that includes text or graphic components, be viewable without the user taking any action and be large enough to be clearly readable.
- For any audio component of a communication, be at least 3 seconds in length and spoken in a clearly audible and intelligible manner at either the beginning or the end of the audio component of the communication.
- For a graphic communication, be large enough to be clearly readable but no less than 4 percent of the vertical height of the communication.⁴⁹

Florida Elections Commission

The Florida Elections Commission (commission) has jurisdiction⁵⁰ to investigate and determine violations of campaign finance laws and other specified provisions of the Florida Election Code⁵¹ upon receipt of a report by the Division of Elections⁵² or a sworn complaint.⁵³ Upon a finding of a violation of one of the laws under its jurisdiction, the commission, or in cases referred to the Division of Administrative Hearings, an administrative law judge, may impose fines up to \$2,500 per count.⁵⁴

Provenance Data

There are various methods to make AI outputs more identifiable and traceable, which include the following:

- Provenance refers to the origin of data or AI system outputs;

⁴⁷ Ch. 2024-126, Laws of Fla.

⁴⁸ Section 106.145(2), F.S.

⁴⁹ Section 106.145(3), F.S.

⁵⁰ For the purposes of commission jurisdiction, a violation means the willful performance of an act prohibited by ch. 104 or 106, F.S., or the willful failure to perform an act required by such chapters. Willfulness is a determination of fact. Section 106.25(3), F.S.

⁵¹ Section 106.25(2), F.S. The commission is housed within the Department of Legal Affairs, but is not subject to the department’s control, supervision, or direction. Section 106.24(1), F.S.

⁵² The Division of Elections is an administrative unit of the Department of State. Section 97.021(9), F.S.

⁵³ Section 106.25(4), F.S.

⁵⁴ Section 106.265, F.S. The fine may be multiplied by a factor of 3, not to exceed \$7,500, after a person commits three counts of the same category of offense. If applicable, the commission or administrative law judge may instead impose a civil penalty as provided in s. 104.271 or s. 106.19, F.S.

- Authentication is a method of establishing provenance via verifiable assertions about the origins of the content;
- Watermarking is a method of establishing provenance through “the act of embedding information, which is typically difficult to remove, into outputs created by AI;” and
- Content labeling refers to informing people as part of the user interface about the source of the information they are receiving.⁵⁵

Data Provenance aims to help people make informed decisions about what data or content can be confidently trusted. To do this, Data Provenance platforms track metadata while making it immutable, transparent, and verifiable.⁵⁶

The Coalition for Content Provenance and Authenticity (C2PA) is an industry-led organization that creates technical standards to verify the origin and history of media.⁵⁷ The C2PA’s goal is to provide a mechanism for producers and custodians of any given content to assert, in a verifiable manner, any information they wish to disclose about the creation of such content, as well as any actions taken since the content’s creation.⁵⁸

In 2020, the “Data & Trust Alliance” was established by a group of CEOs of major companies, which is based on a shared conviction that the future of business will be powered by the responsible use of data and AI.⁵⁹ The Data & Trust Alliance created the first cross-industry metadata standards to bring transparency to the origin of datasets used for both traditional data and AI applications.⁶⁰

Division of Emergency Management

Under the leadership of the Governor, the Florida Division of Emergency Management (DEM) plans for and responds to both natural and man-made disasters.⁶¹ The disasters include floods, hurricanes, and incidents involving hazardous materials or nuclear power.⁶² Additionally, the DEM prepares and implements a statewide Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan, and conducts exercises to test state and county emergency response capabilities.⁶³

⁵⁵ National Telecommunications and Information Administration, *AI Output Disclosures: Use, Provenance, Adverse Incidents*, Mar. 27, 2024, available at <https://www.ntia.gov/issues/artificial-intelligence/ai-accountability-policy-report/developing-accountability-inputs-a-deeper-dive/information-flow/ai-output-disclosures#:~:text=Watermarking%20is%20a%20method%20for,output%20or%20the%20identity%20or> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

⁵⁶ Data Trails, *What is Data Provenance*, Jan. 30, 2024, available at <https://www.datatrails.ai/what-is-data-provenance/> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

⁵⁷ Coalition for Content Provenance and Authenticity, *Overview*, available at <https://c2pa.org/#:~:text=The%20Coalition%20for%20Content%20Provenance,media%20creation%2C%20publication%20and%20sharing>. (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

⁵⁸ Coalition for Content Provenance and Authenticity, *Guiding Principles*, available at <https://c2pa.org/principles/> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

⁵⁹ The Data & Trust Alliance, *About D&TA*, available at <https://dataandtrustalliance.org/about> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

⁶⁰ The Data & Trust Alliance, *Latest Work*, available at <https://dataandtrustalliance.org/work> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

⁶¹ Florida Division of Emergency Management, *About the Division*, available at <https://www.floridadisaster.org/dem/about-the-division/> (last visited Mar. 11, 2025).

⁶² *Id.*

⁶³ *Id.*

Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act

History and Purpose

The Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act (FDUTPA) became law in 1973.⁶⁴ The FDUTPA is a consumer and business protection measure that prohibits unfair methods of competition, unconscionable acts or practices, and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in trade or commerce.⁶⁵ The FDUTPA is based on federal law, and s. 501.204(2), F.S., provides that it is the intent of the Legislature that due consideration and great weight must be given to the interpretations of the Federal Trade Commission and the federal courts relating to section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act.⁶⁶

The State Attorney or the Department of Legal Affairs may bring actions when it is in the public interest on behalf of consumers or governmental entities.⁶⁷ The Office of the State Attorney may enforce violations of the FDUTPA if the violations take place in its jurisdiction.⁶⁸ The Department of Legal Affairs has enforcement authority if the violation is multi-jurisdictional, the state attorney defers in writing, or the state attorney fails to act within 90 days after a written complaint is filed.⁶⁹ Consumers may also file suit through private actions.⁷⁰

Remedies under the FDUTPA

The Department of Legal Affairs and the State Attorney, as enforcing authorities, may seek the following remedies:

- Declaratory judgments.
- Injunctive relief.
- Actual damages on behalf of consumers and businesses.
- Cease and desist orders.
- Civil penalties of up to \$10,000 per willful violation.⁷¹

Remedies for private parties are limited to the following:

- A declaratory judgment and an injunction where a person is aggrieved by a FDUTPA violation.
- Actual damages, attorney fees, and court costs, where a person has suffered a loss due to a FDUTPA violation.⁷²

⁶⁴ Ch. 73-124, Laws of Fla.; codified at part II of ch. 501, F.S.

⁶⁵ See s. 501.202, F.S. Trade or commerce means the advertising, soliciting, providing, offering, or distributing, whether by sale, rental, or otherwise, of any good or service, or any property, whether tangible or intangible, or any other article, commodity, or thing of value, wherever situated. "Trade or commerce" shall include the conduct of any trade or commerce, however denominated, including any nonprofit or not-for-profit person or activity. See s. 501.203(8), F.S.

⁶⁶ See s 501.204(2), F.S.

⁶⁷ See ss. 501.203(2), 501.206, and 501.207, F.S.

⁶⁸ Section 501.203(2), F.S.

⁶⁹ *Id.*

⁷⁰ Section 501.211, F.S.

⁷¹ Sections 501.207(1), 501.208, and 501.2075, F.S. Civil Penalties are deposited into general revenue. Section 501.2075, F.S. Enforcing authorities may also request attorney fees and costs of investigation or litigation. Section 501.2105, F.S.

⁷² Section 501.211(1) and (2), F.S.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Provenance Data Definition

Section 1 of the bill amends s. 106.145, F.S., to define “provenance data” as information identifying whether some or all of the content has been derived through generative artificial intelligence and, if so, the name of the generative artificial intelligence tool used to generate such content and the organization that developed such tool.

Election Campaign Content

The bill requires any content purporting to feature an electoral candidate which can be viewed, heard, or accessed online to include digital provenance data if such content was created by generative artificial intelligence.

Digital Content Provenance Pilot Program

Section 2 of the bill creates s. 252.353, F.S., to establish a digital content provenance pilot program within the DEM. The purpose of the pilot program is to enhance the security and authenticity of digital content used in emergency management operations through the inclusion of provenance data.

The bill requires the DEM to include a conspicuous indicator with an encoded link allowing a user to access provenance data on all digital images and videos created by the DEM on or after July 1, 2025. Additionally, by November 15, 2026, the DEM must submit to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives a report that includes information concerning the pilot program, including whether the integration of provenance data can be scaled effectively within the DEM’s digital content library and recommendations for other valuable uses of provenance data and credentialing the could be implemented within other agencies. This section is repealed June 30, 2027.

Provenance Data of Digital Content

Section 3 of the bill creates s. 501.9741, F.S., and provides the following definitions:

- “Application tool” means a tool or service that enables the user to apply provenance data, either directly or through the use of third-party technology, to any data that has been modified to include synthetic content.
- “Capture device” means a device that can record any visual or audio digital content, including, but not limited to, a camera, a cellular phone with a camera, a microphone, or an audio or video recorder.
- “Generative artificial intelligence” has the same meaning as in s. 106.145(1), F.S.⁷³
- “Generative artificial intelligence tool” means a product or feature that uses generative artificial intelligence to create visual or audio digital content.

⁷³ Section 106.145(1), F.S., defines “generative artificial intelligence” as a machine-based system that can, for a given set of human-defined objectives, emulate the structure and characteristics of input data in order to generate derived synthetic content including images, videos, audio, text, and other digital content.

- “Provenance data” has the same meaning as in s. 106.145(1), F.S.⁷⁴
- “Provenance reader” means a tool or service that allows users to identify the provenance data of visual or audio digital content.
- “Synthetic content” means any visual or audio content that has been produced or modified by a generative artificial intelligence tool.

The bill requires the provider of a generative artificial intelligence tool to apply provenance data, either directly or through the use of third-party technology, to synthetic content wholly generated by the provider’s artificial intelligence tool. The provider of such tool must make the following available to the public:

- An application tool that can determine whether content is synthetic content; and
- A free provenance reader that can view provenance information for synthetic content.

The bill prohibits provenance data from including any personal identifying information or any unique device, system, or service information which is reasonably capable of being associated with a particular user, unless directed by the user.

The bill requires a social media platform⁷⁵ to retain all available provenance data of any suspected synthetic content, and requires such platform to make such data available to platform users through a conspicuous indicator with an encoded link.

The bill requires a capture device sold in Florida to have an option to record provenance data of any suspected synthetic content. The manufacturer of a capture device must ensure that such provenance data can be read by third-party applications.

The bill provides that a violation of this section constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice, and requires enforcement by the Attorney General. Additionally, the Department of Legal Affairs must notify the provider of the generative artificial intelligence system, the manufacturer of a capture device, or the social media platform of any suspected violation, and allow the provider 30 calendar days to cure the alleged violation before initiating enforcement action.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2025.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

⁷⁴ “Provenance data” is defined as information identifying whether some or all of the content has been derived through generative artificial intelligence and, if so, the name of the generative artificial intelligence tool used to generate such content and the organization that developed such tool.

⁷⁵ Section 501.2041(1), F.S., defines “social media platform” as any information service, system, Internet search engine, or access software provider that: (1) provides or enables computer access by multiple users to a computer server, including an Internet platform or a social media site; (2) operates as a sole proprietorship, partnership, limited liability company, corporation, association, or other legal entity; (3) does business in Florida; and (4) satisfies at least one of the following thresholds: (1) has annual gross revenues in excess of \$100 million, as adjusted in January of each odd-numbered year to reflect any increase in the Consumer Price Index; or (2) has at least 100 million monthly individual platform participants globally.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

The First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution promotes the free exchange of ideas and information by prohibiting the government from restricting speech because of the message expressed.⁷⁶ Content-based restrictions are presumptively invalid.⁷⁷ Among specific rights, the First Amendment protects the right to associate for expressive or political activity. The government may infringe upon this right only if it has a compelling interest unrelated to the suppression of speech and if the interest cannot be achieved through significantly less restrictive means.⁷⁸

This bill does not prohibit or restrict the content of speech generated by AI. Instead, it requires a social media company to retain all available provenance data of any suspected synthetic content, and make such data available to platform users through a conspicuous indicator.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:**A. Tax/Fee Issues:**

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Social media platforms will be required to retain all available provenance data of any suspected synthetic content, and make such data available to platform users through a conspicuous indicator with an encoded link.

Sellers of a capture device in Florida will be required to allow an option to include provenance data on any suspected synthetic content. Additionally, the manufacturer of a capture device sold in Florida must ensure that such provenance data can be read by third-party applications.

⁷⁶ See, e.g., *Texas v. Johnson* (491 U.S. 397 (1989)); *State v. T.B.D.*, 656 So.2d 479 (Fla. 1995).

⁷⁷ See, e.g., *Police Dept. of Chicago v. Mosley*, 408 U.S. 92 (1972).

⁷⁸ *City of Dallas v. Stanglin*, 490 U.S. 19 (1989).

C. **Government Sector Impact:**

The Division of Emergency Management will be responsible for implementing the Digital Content Provenance Pilot Program.

VI. **Technical Deficiencies:**

None.

VII. **Related Issues:**

The bill requires social media platforms to retain all available provenance data of any suspected synthetic content, and make such data available to platform users through a conspicuous indicator with an encoded link. However, the meaning of “conspicuous indicator” may not be clear, and may be interpreted differently across platforms.

The definition of “capture device” is broad enough to include many types of consumer electronic devices.

The provider of a generative artificial intelligence tool must apply provenance data to synthetic content “wholly” generated by the provider’s generative artificial intelligence tool; any synthetic content not “wholly created” by a generative AI would not be required to be available to the public by a provider.

VIII. **Statutes Affected:**

This bill substantially amends section 106.145 of the Florida Statutes.

The bill creates the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 252.353 and 501.9741.

IX. **Additional Information:**

A. **Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:**
(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Commerce and Tourism on March 10, 2025

The committee substitute changes the definition of “provenance data,” and requires any content purporting to feature an electoral candidate which can be viewed, heard, or accessed online to include digital provenance data if such content was created by generative AI.

The committee substitute provides that all digital images and videos created by the DEM on or after July 1, 2025, must include a conspicuous indicator with an encoded link allowing a user to access provenance data. By November 15, 2026, the DEM must submit a report that includes information concerning the digital content provenance pilot program to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

The committee substitute defines "synthetic content" as any visual or audio content that has been produced or modified by a generative AI intelligence tool. The provider of a generative AI tool is required to apply provenance data, either directly or through the use of third-party technology, to synthetic content wholly generated by the provider's generative AI tool. The provider of a generative AI tool is required to make available to the public an application tool that can determine whether an image, video, or audio content is synthetic content, as well as have a free provenance reader that can view provenance information.

The committee substitute prohibits provenance data from including any personal identifying information or any unique device, system, or service information which is reasonably capable of being associated with a particular user, unless directed by the user. A social media platform is required to retain all available provenance data of any suspected synthetic content. Additionally, a capture device sold in Florida is required to have an option to record provenance data of any suspected synthetic content.

The committee substitute requires the Department of Legal Affairs to notify the provider of the generative AI system, the manufacturer of a capture device, or the social media platform of any suspected violation, as well as allow the provider 30 calendar days to cure an alleged violation.

B. Amendments:

None.