

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate	•	House
Comm: FAV	•	
03/20/2025	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Appropriations (Harrell) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment

2 3

5

6 7

8

9

10

1

Delete lines 1324 - 1459

and insert: 4

- (h) Establish a streamlined reporting process with clear timelines and escalation procedures for notifying a state agency of noncompliance with the standards developed and adopted by ASSET.
 - (6) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY FINANCIAL DATA.-
 - (a) In consultation with state agencies, ASSET shall create

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2.5

26 27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39



a methodology, an approach, and applicable templates and formats for identifying and collecting both current and planned information technology expenditure data at the state agency level. ASSET shall continuously obtain, review, and maintain records of the appropriations, expenditures, and revenues for information technology for each state agency.

- (b) ASSET shall prescribe the format for state agencies to provide all necessary financial information to ASSET for inclusion in the annual report required under s. 282.006. State agencies must provide the information to ASSET by October 1 for the previous fiscal year. The information must be reported by ASSET in order to determine all costs and expenditures for information technology assets and resources provided by the state agencies or through contracts or grants.
- (7) FEDERAL CONFLICTS.—ASSET must work with state agencies to provide alternative standards, policies, or requirements that do not conflict with federal regulations or requirements if adherence to standards or policies adopted by or established pursuant to this section conflict with federal regulations or requirements imposed on an entity within the enterprise and results in, or is expected to result in, adverse action against the state agencies or loss of federal funding.

Section 13. Effective July 1, 2026, section 282.0062, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

282.0062 ASSET workgroups.—The following workgroups are established within ASSET to facilitate coordination with state agencies:

- (1) CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER WORKGROUP.
- (a) The chief information officer workgroup, composed of

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

6.3

64

65

66

67

68



all state agency chief information officers, shall consider and make recommendations to the state chief information officer and the state chief information architect on such matters as enterprise information technology policies, standards, services, and architecture. The workgroup may also identify and recommend opportunities for the establishment of public-private partnerships when considering technology infrastructure and services in order to accelerate project delivery and provide a source of new or increased project funding.

- (b) At a minimum, the state chief information officer shall consult with the workgroup on a quarterly basis with regard to executing the duties and responsibilities of the state agencies related to statewide information technology strategic planning and policy.
 - (2) ENTERPRISE DATA AND INTEROPERABILITY WORKGROUP.-
- (a) The enterprise data and interoperability workgroup, composed of chief data officer representatives from all state agencies, shall consider and make recommendations to the state chief data officer on such matters as enterprise data policies, standards, services, and architecture that promote data consistency, accessibility, and seamless integration across the enterprise.
- (b) At a minimum, the state chief data officer shall consult with the workgroup on a quarterly basis with regard to executing the duties and responsibilities of the state agencies related to statewide data governance planning and policy.
 - (3) ENTERPRISE SECURITY WORKGROUP. -
- The enterprise security workgroup, composed of chief information security officer representatives from all state

70

71 72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81 82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97



agencies, shall consider and make recommendations to the state chief information security officer on such matters as cybersecurity policies, standards, services, and architecture that promote the protection of state assets.

- (b) At a minimum, the state chief information security officer shall consult with the workgroup on a quarterly basis with regard to executing the duties and responsibilities of the state agencies related to cybersecurity governance and policy development.
- (4) ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS WORKGROUP.-
- (a) The enterprise information technology operations workgroup, composed of information technology business analyst representatives from all state agencies, shall consider and make recommendations to the state chief technology officer on such matters as information technology needs assessments policies, standards, and services that promote the strategic alignment of technology with operational needs and the evaluation of solutions across the enterprise.
- (b) At a minimum, the state chief technology officer shall consult with the workgroup on a quarterly basis with regard to executing the duties and responsibilities of the state agencies related to statewide process improvement and optimization.
- (5) ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY QUALITY ASSURANCE WORKGROUP.-
- (a) The enterprise information technology quality assurance workgroup, composed of testing and quality assurance representatives from all state agencies, shall consider and make recommendations to the state chief technology officer on such

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120 121

122

123

124

125

126



matters as testing methodologies, tools, and best practices to reduce risks related to software defects, cybersecurity threats, and operational failures.

- (b) At a minimum, the state chief technology officer shall consult with the workgroup on a quarterly basis with regard to executing the duties and responsibilities of the state agencies related to enterprise software testing and quality assurance standards.
- (6) ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PROJECT MANAGEMENT WORKGROUP.-
- (a) The enterprise information technology project management workgroup, composed of information technology project manager representatives from all state agencies, shall consider and make recommendations to the state chief technology officer on such matters as information technology project management policies, standards, accountability measures, and services that promote project governance and standardization across the enterprise.
- (b) At a minimum, the state chief technology officer shall consult with the workgroup on a quarterly basis with regard to executing the duties and responsibilities of the state agencies related to project management and oversight.
- (7) ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CONTRACT MANAGEMENT WORKGROUP.-
- (a) The enterprise information technology contract management workgroup, composed of information technology contract manager representatives from all state agencies, shall consider and make recommendations to the state chief technology officer on such matters as information technology contract

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140 141

142

143 144

145 146



management policies and standards that promote best practices for vendor oversight, risk management and compliance, and performance monitoring and reporting across the enterprise.

- (b) At a minimum, the state chief technology officer shall consult with the workgroup on a quarterly basis with regard to executing the duties and responsibilities of the state agencies related to contract management and vendor accountability.
- (8) ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PURCHASING WORKGROUP.-
- (a) The enterprise information technology purchasing workgroup, composed of information technology procurement representatives from all state agencies, shall consider and make recommendations to the state chief information technology procurement officer on such matters as information technology procurement policies, standards, and purchasing strategy and optimization that promote best practices for contract negotiation, consolidation, and effective service-level agreement implementation across the enterprise.
- (b) At a minimum, the state chief information technology procurement