

# FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES BILL ANALYSIS

*This bill analysis was prepared by nonpartisan committee staff and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.*

**BILL #:** [CS/HB 1283](#)

**TITLE:** Complaints Against Law Enforcement and Correctional Officers

**SPONSOR(S):** Fabricio and Blanco

**COMPANION BILL:** [SB 1544](#) (Pizzo)

**LINKED BILLS:** None

**RELATED BILLS:** None

## Committee References

[Criminal Justice](#)

14 Y, 1 N



[Government Operations](#)

18 Y, 0 N, As CS



[Judiciary](#)

16 Y, 0 N

## SUMMARY

### Effect of the Bill:

The bill revises provisions related to complaints against law enforcement officers (LEO) and correctional officers (CO), and the rights provided to such officers during and after an internal investigation, including:

- Requiring any complaint against a LEO or CO to be made in writing and signed under oath by the person filing the complaint, unless the complaint is accompanied with corroborating evidence.
- Requiring a copy of such signed complaint, in addition to the names of all complainants, to be provided to a LEO or CO who is under investigation by his or her employing agency prior to any interrogation, unless the complaint is accompanied with corroborating evidence.
- Prohibiting an employing agency from taking any punitive action against a LEO or CO unless he or she has been provided a copy of such signed complaint, unless the complaint is accompanied with corroborating evidence.
- Specifying that a person who makes a false written complaint under oath against a LEO or CO commits perjury by false written declaration, which is punishable as a third degree felony.
- Prohibiting an investigation of a LEO or CO that did not result in disciplinary action from affecting such officer's ability to receive a promotion, raise, or other commendation.

### Fiscal or Economic Impact:

The bill specifies that a person who makes a false complaint against a LEO or CO in writing as generally required under the bill commits perjury by false written declaration, which is punishable as a third degree felony. To the extent that such false complaints are made against a LEO or CO, the bill may have an indeterminate, positive prison bed impact.

[JUMP TO](#)

[SUMMARY](#)

[ANALYSIS](#)

[RELEVANT INFORMATION](#)

[BILL HISTORY](#)

## ANALYSIS

### EFFECT OF THE BILL:

#### Complaints Against Law Enforcement Officers and Correctional Officers

The bill requires a [complaint against a law enforcement officer or correctional officer](#) to be made in writing and signed under oath pursuant to [s. 92.525\(2\), F.S.](#), unless the complaint is accompanied with corroborating evidence. The bill also specifies that a person who makes a false written complaint under oath commits [perjury by false written declaration](#), which is punishable as a third degree felony.<sup>1</sup> (Section [2](#))

The bill requires a law enforcement agency or correctional agency to provide a law enforcement officer or correctional officer who is under investigation by members of his or her agency for any reason that could lead to disciplinary action with a copy of the complaint that was signed by the complainant under oath, in addition to the

<sup>1</sup> A third degree felony is punishable by up to five years imprisonment and a \$5,000 fine. [Ss. 775.082, 775.083](#), or [s. 775.084, F.S.](#)

**STORAGE NAME:** h1283e.JDC

**DATE:** 2/24/2026

names of all complainants as required under current law, before the agency conducts an interrogation of the officer. However, if the complaint is accompanied by corroborating evidence, the complainant's name and signature are not required to be provided to the officer. The bill also prohibits a law enforcement agency or correctional agency from taking any punitive action against a law enforcement officer or correctional officer unless the officer is provided a copy of the complaint signed by the complainant under oath before the effective date of the punitive action, unless the complaint is accompanied by corroborating evidence. (Section [1](#))

### **Rights of Law Enforcement Officers and Correctional Officers After an Investigation**

The bill prohibits the investigation of a law enforcement officer or correctional officer which does not result in disciplinary action to the officer from affecting such officer's ability to receive a promotion, raise, or other commendation. (Section [1](#))

The effective date of the bill is July 1, 2026. (Section [3](#))

### **FISCAL OR ECONOMIC IMPACT:**

#### **STATE GOVERNMENT:**

The bill requires all complaints against a law enforcement officer or correctional officer to be made in writing under oath unless the complaint is accompanied with corroborating evidence and specifies that a person who makes a false written complaint under oath against a law enforcement officer or correctional officer commits perjury by false written declaration, a violation of which is punishable as a third degree felony. To the extent that such false complaints are made against a law enforcement officer or correctional officer, the bill may have an indeterminate positive prison bed impact.

## **RELEVANT INFORMATION**

### **SUBJECT OVERVIEW:**

#### **Law Enforcement Officers' Bill of Rights**

Law enforcement officers and correctional officers are provided with specified rights when they are being investigated for misconduct by their own agencies. Chapter 112, part VI, F.S., commonly known as the Law Enforcement Officers' Bill of Rights (LEOBOR), provides specific rights when a law enforcement officer<sup>2</sup> or correctional officer<sup>3</sup> is under investigation and subject to interrogation by members of his or her agency for any reason that could lead to disciplinary action, suspension, demotion, or dismissal. LEOBOR prescribes the conditions under which an interrogation of an officer must be conducted, including limitations on the time, place, manner, and length of the interrogation, as well as restrictions on the interrogation techniques that may be employed.<sup>4</sup> LEOBOR further affords officers the right to:

- Be informed of the nature of the investigation;
- Be provided with all evidence against the officer before any interrogation;
- Counsel during any interrogation;
- Have the interrogation recorded;
- A complete copy of the investigative file;

<sup>2</sup> Law enforcement officer" is defined as any person, other than a chief of police, who is employed full time or part time by any municipality or the state or any political subdivision thereof and whose primary responsibility is the prevention and detection of crime or the enforcement of the penal, traffic, or highway laws of this state; and includes any person who is appointed by the sheriff as a deputy sheriff pursuant to [s. 30.07, F.S. S. 112.531\(3\), F.S.](#)

<sup>3</sup> "Correctional officer" is defined as any person, other than a warden, who is appointed or employed full time or part time by the state or any political subdivision thereof whose primary responsibility is the supervision, protection, care, custody, or control of inmates within a correctional institution; and includes correctional probation officers, as defined in [s. 943.10\(3\), F.S.](#) However, the term "correctional officer" does not include any secretarial, clerical, or professionally trained personnel. [S. 112.531\(2\), F.S.](#)

<sup>4</sup> [S. 112.532\(1\), F.S.](#)

- Be notified of the reason for disciplinary action before it is imposed; and
- Address the findings in the investigative file with the employing agency before disciplinary action is imposed.<sup>5</sup>

### Complaints Against Law Enforcement Officers and Correctional Officers

In addition to providing a law enforcement officer or correctional officer with a list of rights that may be exercised while he or she is being investigated for misconduct by his or her employing agency, LEOBOR also provides procedures that a political subdivision<sup>6</sup> must follow when it receives a complaint about a law enforcement officer or correctional officer. Generally, a political subdivision is prohibited from adopting or attempting to enforce any ordinance related to the receipt, processing, or investigation by any such political subdivision of complaints of misconduct by law enforcement officers or correctional officers unless it is expressly authorized to do so under state law.<sup>7</sup> Section [112.533\(4\)\(a\), F.S.](#), provides a limited authorization and requires every law enforcement agency and correctional agency to establish and put into operation a system for the receipt, investigation, and determination of complaints received by such an agency from any person. The system must comply with certain statutory requirements, including:

- Specified time frames by which a complaint must be processed and investigated.
- Requiring the complaint and information obtained pursuant to an investigation be confidential and exempt from disclosure as a public record until the investigation has concluded.
- Authorizing the law enforcement officer or correctional officer under investigation to review the complaint and information obtained pursuant to an investigation and his or her personnel file.<sup>8</sup>

### **Initial Receipt of Complaints by a Law Enforcement Agency or Correctional Agency**

Generally, a formal investigation into alleged misconduct by a law enforcement officer or correctional officer is initiated by a complaint filed with the officer's employing agency, which may be made anonymously.<sup>9</sup> Depending on the nature of the complaint, an allegation of misconduct may either be investigated by an officer's supervisor or, in more serious cases, by the internal affairs division of the agency.<sup>10</sup> After the investigation is complete, the complaint is forwarded to the head of the law enforcement agency for final disposition, which, if the allegations in the complaint are sustained, could include disciplinary action.<sup>11</sup>

### **Verification of Documents and Perjury**

[Section 92.525, F.S.](#), provides a specified procedure by which a person may verify<sup>12</sup> a document<sup>13</sup> if he or she is required to do so by a law, rule of an administrative agency, or rule or order of the court. A person may verify a document by taking an oath or affirmation before specified persons such as a judge, notary public, or law enforcement officer, or by making a written declaration at the end of or immediately below the document being verified, which states, "Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing document and that the

<sup>5</sup> [S. 112.532\(1\) and \(4\), F.S.](#)

<sup>6</sup> "Political subdivision" is defined as a separate agency or unit of local government created or established by law or ordinance and the officers thereof and includes, but is not limited to, an authority, a board, a branch, a bureau, a city, a commission, a consolidated government, a county, a department, a district, an institution, a metropolitan government, a municipality, an office, an officer, a public corporation, a town, or a village. [S. 112.533\(2\), F.S.](#)

<sup>7</sup> [S. 112.533\(3\)\(a\), F.S.](#)

<sup>8</sup> [S. 112.533\(4\)-\(6\), F.S.](#)

<sup>9</sup> Pinellas County Sheriff's Office, *Commendation & Complaint Procedures*, <https://www.pinellasheriff.gov/file-a-commendation-or-complaint> (last visited Feb. 24, 2026). Polk County Sheriff's Office. *Citizen Complaint FAQs*, <https://www.polksheriff.org/about/faqs> (last visited Feb. 24, 2026). Tallahassee Police Department, *General Order 29*, <https://www.talgov.com/uploads/public/documents/tpd/policies/go-29.pdf> (last visited Feb. 24, 2026).

<sup>10</sup> *Id.*

<sup>11</sup> *Id.*

<sup>12</sup> The requirement that a document be verified means that the document must be signed or executed by a person and that the person must state under oath or affirm that the facts or matters stated or recited in the document are true, or words of that import or effect. [S. 92.525\(4\)\(c\), F.S.](#)

<sup>13</sup> "Document" is defined as any writing, including, without limitation, any form, application, claim, notice, tax return, inventory, affidavit, pleading, or paper. [S. 92.525\(4\)\(b\), F.S.](#)

facts stated in it are true.”<sup>14</sup> The person must also provide his or her signature following such a declaration.<sup>15</sup> A person who knowingly makes a false declaration commits [perjury by false written declaration](#), which is punishable as a third degree felony.<sup>16</sup>

**BILL HISTORY**

COMMITTEE REFERENCE	ACTION	DATE	STAFF DIRECTOR/ POLICY CHIEF	ANALYSIS PREPARED BY
<a href="#">Criminal Justice Subcommittee</a>	14 Y, 1 N	1/28/2026	Hall	Padgett
<a href="#">Government Operations Subcommittee</a>	18 Y, 0 N, As CS	2/11/2026	Toliver	Villa
THE CHANGES ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Specified that a law enforcement or correctional agency is not required, under certain circumstances, to provide a law enforcement or correctional officer with the name of the complainant before an interrogation.</li> <li>Specified that a law enforcement or correctional officer may be disciplined by his or her agency, under certain circumstances without being provided a copy of a complaint.</li> </ul>			
<a href="#">Judiciary Committee</a>	16 Y, 0 N	2/24/2026	Kramer	Padgett

-----  
**THIS BILL ANALYSIS HAS BEEN UPDATED TO INCORPORATE ALL OF THE CHANGES DESCRIBED ABOVE.**  
 -----

<sup>14</sup> [S. 92.525\(1\) and \(2\), F.S.](#)

<sup>15</sup> [S. 92.525\(2\), F.S.](#)

<sup>16</sup> [S. 92.525\(3\), F.S.](#)